

**LIST OF CREDITORS IN COMPLIANCE WITH REGULATIONS 9 (1) OF IBBI (INSOLVENCY
RESOLUTION PROCESS FOR PERSONAL GUARANTORS TO CORPORATE
DEBTORS) REGULATIONS, 2019
IN THE MATTER OF CFM ASSET RECONSTRUCTION PRIVATE LIMITED Vs. MR. ANKUR JAIN BEARING CP (IB) NO. 456 OF 2021**

S. No	Name of Creditor	Address of Creditor	Whether Associate of Personal Guarantor	Principal Claim	Interest Claim	Other	Total Amount Claimed (in Rs.)	Amount verified by RP (in Rs.)	Amount under verification	Amount not verified	Voting Share	Remarks
1	CFM Asset Reconstruction Private Limited	1st Floor, Wakefield House, Sprott Road, Ballard Estate, Mumbai 400 038, India ram.jaluka@cfmarc.in, anmol.mishra@cfmarc.in, shailendra.shrivastav@cfmarc.in, ks.srivastava@cfmarc.in, saurabh.pal@cfmarc.in, kashmira.anchan@cfmarc.in	No	294,811,183.96	296,832,906.42	-	591,644,090	591,644,090.00	-	-	55.37	Nil
2	Punjab National Bank	Punjab National Bank, Zonal Sastra Delhi, 7, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi-110066 Ematl id-zs&L343@pnb.co.in, honclt@pnb.co.jp	No	269,342,786.20	1,194,743,504.00	-	1,464,086,290	269,342,786.20	1,194,743,504.00	-	25.21	The Creditor is yet to provide certain clarifications in respect of the amount claimed and related calculation.
3	Bank of Baroda	Stressed Asset Management Branch, 4th Floor, Rajendra Bhawan, Rajendra Place, New Delhi- 110008 SAMDEL@bankofbaroda.com, Kundan.Kumar2@bankofbaroda.com	No	20,162,373.21	187,438,011.70	-	207,600,385	207,600,385	-	-	19.43	Nil
4	Delhi Sky Network	RZ-147, T/F, Gali No.9A, Kailash Puri Extn., Palam Colony, New Delhi-I 10045, DIGVIJAYTIWARI78@GMAIL.COM	No	1,927,361.00	963,680.00	-	2,891,041	-	2,891,041.00	-	0.00	The subject claim has been lodged on account of bouncing of cheques drawn by NSTPL-HITS, as such the company issuing the cheques is liable and not Mr Ankur Jain. Thus the claim is not maintainable. However, it may be noted that in the matter of Ashok Shewakramani & Ors. Vs. State Of Andhra Pradesh and Shanmuga Spinners. The Supreme Court has held that an individual could be held vicariously liable for an offense under Section 138 of the NI Act, solely if they fulfilled the dual criteria of being "in charge of" and "responsible to the company for the conduct of its business" at the precise time the alleged offense was committed. So if the claimant is able to satisfy the dual criteria as set out by SC, the claim can be considered
				584,316,343.37	1,679,014,422.12	-	2,263,330,765	1,068,587,261.20	1,194,743,504.00		100.00	Nil